

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## United States Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/575,633 05/22/00 **JOHNSON** W **EXAMINER** HM22/1009 JAMES V HARMON LEVY, N 1000 NORTHSTAR CENTER EAST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 608 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402 1616 DATE MAILED: 10/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	307	Application No. 23 Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	기	575655	JOH NOON	
		Examiner : MOLLON	Group Art Unit	3
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears o	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence addr	9SS
Peri df r Reply			NM. ~	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO E	EXPIRE SO	MENTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	3 DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	s, a reply sfault, exp	within the statutory minimu	m of thirty (30) days will be considered ti the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	0	11.0/00		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		129/00	14,1	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	./	,		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>				in
Disp sition of Claims				
(3claim(s)			is/are pending in the applica	tion.
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
Claim(s) / -/6				election
Application Papers			requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	awing R	leview, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		is 🗆 approved [	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) illed on is/are of	bjected	to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	ər.			
Pri rity under 35 US.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received inApplication No. (Series Code/Serial No.)</li> </ul>	s of the	priority documents ha	ve been	
☐ received ir this national stage application from the	•			
*Certified cores not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information lisclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s	s)	terview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reerence(s) Cited, PTO-892	•	•	otice of Informal Patent Application	, PTO-152
□ Notice of Dr.ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC	<b>)-948</b>	_0	ther	
Office Action Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademak Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.\_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/575,633

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of Amendment of 9/29/00.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to compositions, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
- II. Claims 10-14, drawn to methods of killing, classified in class 424, subclass 405.
- III. Claims 15, 16, drawn to method of sensing, classified in class 181, subclass 125.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compositions as claimed can be used in materially different methods, such as in shampoos.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of Thickener of claim 5: protein, carbohydrate, polymer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 3-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

Application/Control Number: 09/575,633

Art Unit: 1616

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of surfactant: as of page 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/575,633

Art Unit: 1616

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of attractant of claim 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Art Unit: 1616

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I, II, III is not required for Group III, II or I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1616

A telephone call was made to Attorney James Attorney James 1201 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy:mv

September 21, 2001

NEIL'S LEW PRIMARY EXAMINER

NewHere